# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

# **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE AUS9000460US1 George P. Copeland 09/740,460 12/18/2000 **EXAMINER** 09/02/2004 35617 SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A CONLEY ROSE, P.C. P.O. BOX 684908 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT AUSTIN, TX 78768 2154

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/740,460	COPELAND ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Mohammad A Siddiqi	2154
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	is communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the project for reply specified above is the period for reply specified above.	communication.  er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ate of this communication. ess than thirty (30) days, a reply the maximum statutory period v period for reply will, by statute to three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a of this within the statutory minimum of this will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
	2b)⊡ This n condition for allowa	action is non-final.	ters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims			
4)	) <u>4 and 16</u> is/are withdowed. is/are rejected. ijected to. ect to restriction and/o	rawn from consideration.	
9) The specification is object	•		hu tha Evansinar
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing shee	et(s) including the correc	tion is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  Id Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul><li>2. Certified copies o</li><li>3. Copies of the cert</li></ul>	None of:  f the priority document  f the priority document  ified copies of the prione  International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in a crity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	22)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-8)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra     Information Disclosure Statement(s     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	wing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/740,460 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-18 are presented for examination. Claims 4 and 16 have been cancelled.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3,5-15, and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carneal et al. (6,598,048) (hereinafter Carneal) in view of Helgeson et al. (6,643,652) (hereinafter Helgeson).
- 4. As per claim 1, Carneal discloses a software system supporting distributed web applications (see abstract), comprising:

Art Unit: 2154

a parent server page (e.g. col lines 64-67), containing a call to a child server page (e.g. col 1, lines 58-67 and col 2, lines 1-3, an inline object is child page);

a cache within a proxy server (e.g. col 7, lines 63-65), containing code for the parent server page (e.g. col 8, lines 7-10) and child server page (e.g. col 8, lines 7-10, an inline object is child page), wherein the code for the parent server page does not contain all the code for the child server page (fig 7, e.g. col 8, lines 7-44); and

a link associated (fig 7, e.g. col 8, lines 7-15, link is a reference to an inline object) with the call to the child server page (fig 7, e.g. col 8, lines 7-15), and encapsulating (e.g. col 8, lines 7-15, encapsulation is the process of combining elements to create a new entity) information for locating and executing the code for the child server page (fig 7, e.g. col 8, lines 7-15).

Carneal fails to disclose a cache within a web server. However, it is well known in the art, caching reduces network load because the data does not have to be fetched across the network more than once unless the data is changed. Caching reduces the time required to read and write data.

Implementing external or internal caching to the web server is matter of a design preference. Web Application server can be implemented with both internal or external cache to the web server to achieve better performance. Web application server with an internal cache can also push data to external

Art Unit: 2154

caches and invalidate them when needed for security reason. Helgeson does explicitly disclose a cache within a web server (col 63, lines 65-67).

Page 4

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Carneal's teaching by using Helgeson's teaching because Helgeson uses control over caching in web content server would provide Carneal's system with control over caching in a web server and that will reduces the time required to read and write data in a web server (col 63, lines 59-61).

- 5. As per claim 2, Carneal discloses the child server page may be executed using the link, without executing the parent server page (fig 7, e.g. col 8, lines 7-15, link is a reference to an inline object).
- 6. As per claim 3, Carneal discloses link further comprises a web page address (e.g. col 1, lines 39-57) and a list of request attributes (e.g. col 8, lines 43-49).
- 7. As per claim 5, Carneal discloses an instruction sequence that may be invoked to locate the child server page in the cache (e.g. col 6, lines 35–44).
- 8. As per claims 9, 17, and 18, Carneal discloses a method for caching a parent and a child server page (see abstract), comprising:

Art Unit: 2154

storing code for the parent server page in a cache (e.g. col 6, lines – 35, homepage is a parent page) located internal to a web server, such that the code for the parent server page does not contain all lines of code for the child server page (e.g. col 6, lines 36-44);

storing only one copy (e.g. col 6, lines 36-44, an inline object is a child page) of the code for the child server page in the cache (e.g. col 6, lines 36-44);

creating in the code (e.g. col 1, lines 58-67) for the parent server page a link to the singular copy of the code for the child server page (e.g. col 1, lines 58-67, link is an external reference and an inline object is a child page) for locating and executing the code for the child server page (e.g. col 2, lines 24-40); and

associating the link with more than one call to the child server page (fig 7, e.g. col 8, lines 7-15, link is a reference to an inline object) to execute from the cache a plurality of the singular copy of the code for the child server page (col 6, lines 14-45).

9. As per claim 6, Carneal is silent about the object-oriented software system.

However, Helgeson discloses object-oriented software system (e.g. col 5, lines 13-15).

Art Unit: 2154

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to combine Carneal with Helgeson because it would provide pre-fetching child objects referenced by the parent object in the cache maintained by the proxy or web server, child objects can be sent to the browser without waiting.

10. As per claims 7 and 10, Carneal is silent about the server page comprises a Java Server Page (JSP).

However, Helgeson discloses the server page comprises a Java Server Page (JSP) (e.g. col 51, lines 20-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to combine Carneal with Helgeson because it would provide pre-fetching child objects referenced by the parent object in the cache maintained by the proxy or web server, child objects can be sent to the browser without waiting.

11. As per claim 8, Carneal discloses the child (e.g. col 8, lines 7-10, an inline object is child page), in response to a request made to the web server (fig 6) by a client or another web server (e.g. fig 6, col 12, lines 22-29).

Carneal is silent about using JSP (java server pages) technology.

Art Unit: 2154

However, Helgeson discloses JSP technology to create web pages (e.g. col 51, lines 20-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to combine Carneal with Helgeson because it would provide pre-fetching child objects referenced by the parent object in the cache maintained by the proxy or web server, child objects can be sent to the browser without waiting.

12. As per claim 11, Carneal discloses invoking an instruction sequence to locate the code for the child page in the cache (e.g. col 6, lines 35-44), in response to a request made by a web browser (e.g. col 12, lines 22-42).

Carneal is silent about using JSP (java server pages) technology to create web pages.

However, Helgeson discloses the JSP (java server pages) technology to create web pages (e.g. col 51, lines 20-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to combine Carneal with Helgeson because it would provide pre-fetching child objects referenced by the parent object in the cache maintained by the proxy or web server, child objects can be sent to the browser without waiting.

Art Unit: 2154

13. As per claim 12, Carneal discloses executing the code for the child page using the link, without executing all the code for the parent page (e.g. fig 7, col 8, lines 7-15).

Carneal is silent about using the JSP (java server pages) technology to create web pages.

However, Helgeson discloses the JSP (java server pages) technology to create web pages (e.g. col 51, lines 20-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to combine Carneal with Helgeson because it would provide pre-fetching child objects referenced by the parent object in the cache maintained by the proxy or web server, child objects can be sent to the browser without waiting.

14. As per claim 13, Carneal discloses the child page is executed in the web server in response to a request made by a client (e.g. col 12, lines 22-45) or another web server (e.g. fig 6).

Carneal is silent about the JSP (java server pages) technology to create web pages.

However, Helgeson discloses the JSP (java server pages) technology to create web pages (e.g. col 51, lines 20-30).

Art Unit: 2154

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to combine Carneal with Helgeson because it would provide pre-fetching child objects referenced by the parent object in the cache maintained by the proxy or web server, child objects can be sent to the browser without waiting.

15. As per claim 14, Carneal discloses the child page is executed only if it cannot first be located in the cache (e.g. fig 7, col 8, lines 30-60).

Carneal is silent about the JSP (java server pages) technology to create web pages.

However, Helgeson the JSP (java server pages) technology to create web pages (e.g. col 51, lines 20-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to combine Carneal with Helgeson because it would provide pre-fetching child objects referenced by the parent object in the cache maintained by the proxy or web server, child objects can be sent to the browser without waiting.

16. As per claim 15, Carneal fails to disclose the cached child page may be updated without also updating the parent page.

However, Helgeson discloses the cached child page may be updated without also updating the parent page (e.g. col 63, lines 59-67).

Art Unit: 2154

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to combine Carneal with Helgeson because it would provide pre-fetching child objects referenced by the parent object in the cache maintained by the proxy or web server, child objects can be sent to the browser without waiting.

## Response to Argument

Applicant's arguments filed 06/25/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, therefore rejections to claims 1-3, 5-15, and 17-18 is maintained.

In response to applicant's argument "Carneal does not teach or suggest a cache that is internal to, within, or part of a web server".

However, it is well known in the art, caching reduces network load because the data does not have to be fetched across the network more than once unless the data is changed. Caching reduces the time required to read and write data. Implementing external or internal caching to the web server is matter of a design preference. Web Application server can be implemented with either internal or external cache to the web server to achieve better

Art Unit: 2154

performance. Web application server with an internal cache can also push data to external caches and invalidate them when needed for security reason. Helgeson does explicitly disclose a cache within a web server (col 63,lines 65-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Carneal's teaching by using Helgeson's teaching because Helgeson uses control over caching in web content server would provide Carneal's system with control over caching in a web server and that will reduces the time required to read and write data in a web server (col 63, lines 59-61).

In response to applicant's argument "Carneal does not teach or suggest a cache that is internal to..". Examiner notes that amendment in claim 1 with the new limitation "a cache within a web server" does not represent the same by canceling the claim 4. Claim 4 recites "associated with a web server" which is not "a cache within a web server".

In response to applicant's argument "Carneal does not teach or suggest a cache containing or storing code from a parent server page", the examiner respectfully disagrees. Carneal teaches a cache containing or storing (col 6, lines 16-44) code from a parent server page (fig 7, inline object embedded in HTML code, col 8, lines 8-50).

In response to applicant's argument "Carneal does not teach or suggest executing the child server page using the link", the examiner

Art Unit: 2154

respectfully disagrees. Carneal teaches the child server page may be executed using the link, without executing the parent server page (fig 7, e.g. col 8, lines 7-15, link is a reference to an inline object), list of request attributes (Objects contains data and behavior. e.g. col 8, lines 43-49). Therefore, limitations are met by the reference.

#### Conclusion

17. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad A Siddigi whose

Art Unit: 2154

telephone number is (703) 305-0353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A Follansbee can be reached on (703) 305-8498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAS

N. Elfadt.